

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/771,812	01/29/2001	Wolfgang F. Ruettinger	4739	1300	_	
75	7590 10/27/2003		EXAMINER			
Chief Patent Counsel Engelhard Corporation 101 Wood Avenue			LANGEL, WAYNE A		TL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
P.O. Box 770		1754				
Iselin, NJ 088	330-0770		DATE MAILED: 10/27/200	DATE MAILED: 10/27/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			14
	Application No.	Applican	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Upit
		nge/	1-754
-The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover st	neet beneath the	e correspondence address—
P riod for Reply	,	$\overline{}$	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONT	TH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	s, a reply within the statur lefault, expire SIX (6) MON ly statute, cause the appli	tory minimum of thi NTHS from the maili ication to become A	rty (30) days will be considered timely. ing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	77 - x-	7	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	22-83	>	·
This action is FINAL.			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,			as to the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims $47/12$	1 45-16	7)	
X Claim(s) 42, 43 and	75-78	is/a	are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	1110	is/a	re withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s) 73 73	140 98	is/a	re allowed.
Claim(s) and 4	.6	is/a	re rejected.
☐ Claim(s)		is/a	re objected to.
□ Claim(s)			subject to restriction or election
Application Papers		•	uirement
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		,	roved.
	bjected to by the Exa	miner	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•		•
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r.		
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)			
□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. §	119 (a)–(d).	
□ All □ Some* □ None of the:			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have be			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have be	• •		
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International 			
*Certified copies not received:	•	• • •	
Atta hment(s)			•
☐ Information Disclosure Stat m nt(s), PTO-1449, Pape	er No(s)	☐ Interview S	ummary, PTO-413
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892			nformal Pat nt Application, PTO-15
☐ Notic of Draftsperson's Pat nt Drawing Review, PTO	L948		morniar at ht Application, 1 10-10
		_ Juici	
Offic	e Action Summary		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Serial No. 09/771,812

Art Unit 1754

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 42 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Korotkikh et al. in view of Mesters et al. (of record). Korotkikh et al. disclose a process for carrying out the water gas-shift reaction, wherein the catalyst for the reaction comprises aluminum oxide having a surface area of at least 10 m^2/g , a catalytic agent, and cerium oxide as a promoter. (See column 3, lines 12-21.) The difference between the process disclosed by Korotkikh et al., and that recited in claims 42 and 46, is that Korotkikh et al. do not disclose that the catalytic agent should be copper and be present between 4 and 14% by weight. Mesters et al. disclose that metallic copper will catalyze the water gas-shift reaction. (See the Abstract and column 2, lines 34-68.) It would be prima facie obvious from Mesters et al. to employ copper as the catalytic agent for the catalyst of Korotkikh et al. since Korotkikh et al. teach at column 7, lines 48-51 that the catalytic agent can be any

Serial No. 09/771,812

1754

Art Unit

suitable material that catalyzes the water gas-shift reaction, and Mesters et al. clearly disclose that copper would be such a material that would catalyze the water gas-shift reaction.

Moreover, the copper catalyst of Mesters et al. is deposited on a refractory oxidic carrier having a high specific surface area, as is the catalyst material of Korotkikh et al., so that it would be expected from Mesters et al. that copper would function as a catalytic agent for the catalyst of Korotkikh et al. It would be further obvious to employ the copper in an amount between 4 and 14% by weight in the catalyst of Korotkikh et al., since Mesters et al. teach that the copper should be present in an amount of at least 1% by weight (see the Abstract), and it would be within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art to determine a suitable or optimum amount of the copper to be employed. Regarding claim 46, it is noted that the conditions recited therein are disclosed at column 18, lines 34-54 of Korotkikh et al.

Claims 43, 45, 47 and 48 are allowed.

Sugier '577 is made of record for disclosing the water gasshift reaction using a catalyst comprising an active phase consisting of a mixed oxide of copper and chromium.

Snowden and Davies et al. are made of record for disclosing the water gas-shift reaction using catalysts comprising oxides of copper and zinc.

Serial No. 09/771,812 Art Unit 1754

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne A. Langel whose telephone number is (703) 308-0248. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8 A.M. to 3:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can

Art Unit 1754

be reached on (703) 308-3837. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

WAL:cdc

October 21, 2003

Mame a. Langel
WAYNE A. LANGEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER